

Thermonuclear electron-capture supernovae – New production sites completing the solar inventory of isotopes?

A. Holas¹, S. Jones², B. Côté³, F. Röpke⁴, S. Wanajo⁵

¹alexander.holas@h-its.org, ²samuel.lucyk.jones@gmail.com, ³benoit.cote@csfk.org, ⁴friedrich.roepke@h-its.org, ⁵shinya.wanajo@aei.mpg.de

ECSNe in a Nutshell

Progenitors:

- ▶ Isolated star: Degenerate ONe core inside an extended H envelope
- ▶ Binary star: Stripped ONe core with stable He burning
- ▶ WD in binary system: ONe WD stably accreting from companion

↓

Onset of electron capture:

$$^{20}\text{Ne} \rightarrow ^{20}\text{F} \rightarrow ^{20}\text{O}$$

- ▶ Energy release triggers nuclear burning
- ▶ Loss of electron degeneracy pressure initiates collapse

↓

Collapse vs. explosion:

- ▶ Nuclear burning counteracts gravitational collapse
- ▶ Energy release depends on turbulence of flame
- ▶ If flame becomes turbulent fast enough, burning can stop collapse

↓

cECSN

Collapse and formation of a neutron star

tECSN

Thermonuclear explosion leaving behind a bound remnant

Ejecta of tECSNe

Figure: Overabundance of stable isotopes in the ejecta of a tECSN simulation (G14aNKK) after decaying for 0.32 Gyr. DDT simulation N100DDT from Seitenzahl et al. (2013) is plotted for comparison (decayed to 2 Gyr).

- ▶ Nuclear postprocessing using a derivative of the NUGRID reaction network (Pignatari et al. 2016, Jones et al. 2019c) covering **5213** isotopes
- ▶ Results similar to high-density CO deflagration simulations by Woosley (1997):
 - Deficit of C
 - Ejection of large amounts of O and Ne
 - Presence of large quantities of trans-iron elements from Zn to Rb
 - Significant overproduction of ⁴⁸Ca, ⁵⁰Ti and ⁵⁴Cr
- ▶ In contrast to high-density CO cores, high density ONe cores are supported by stellar evolution

⇒ **tECSNe could replace high-density CO deflagrations as production sites of ⁴⁸Ca**

Hydrodynamic Simulations

Figure: Volume rendering showing the spatial distribution of the electron fraction Y_e in the deflagration ashes of a ONe deflagration in a tECSN simulation.

- ▶ 3D level-set simulations of ONe cores using LEAFS
- ▶ Timmes EoS (Timmes & Arnett 1999), Coulomb corrections through formulation of Potekhin & Chabrier (2002) where considered.
- ▶ Some simulations **collapse**, others end as **thermonuclear explosions** depending on the central ignition density
- ▶ In non-collapsing models Y_e^{min} does not drop below ~ 0.4 .
- ▶ If flame does not become turbulent fast enough, energy release cannot reverse collapse; Y_e will continue to decrease
- ▶ Including Coulomb corrections makes the flame take longer to become dominated by turbulence

Galactic Chemical Evolution (GCE) with tECSNe

Figure: Galaxy model composition relative to solar when the sun forms.

- ▶ tECSNe are needed to match solar abundance of ⁴⁸Ca
- ▶ A complement of cECSNe is required for production of Zn-Zr range of elements
- ▶ cECSNe could potentially be replaced by low-mass Fe CCSNe (→ new progenitors for **low-kick neutron stars** would be needed!)

⇒ **A mix of tECSNe, cECSNe and low-mass Fe CCSNe does not produce tension with solar abundances in GCE!**

Do tECSNe exist in nature?

So far, no synthetic observables of tECSNe exist, allowing for a match to astronomical data.

⇒ **The next step:** Generation of high quality synthetic observables using tools such as **ARTIS** and **TARDIS**.