

Improvements to modelling tools for Type Ia Supernovae – A focus on ratiation transport

Alexander Holas F. Callan, C. Collins, R. Pakmor, F. Röpke, L. Shingles, S. Sim

Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies

June 6th 2022

Content

1. Introduction

2. Identifying SNe Ia Progenitors with Radiative Transfer Models

3. Improvements to Radiative Transfer

4. Testing ARTIS-NLTE

Introduction – SNe Ia in a nutshell

- Thermonuclear explosions of carbon-oxygen (CO) white dwarfs (WDs)
- Progenitor scenario(s) still unclear



(Credit: ESO/L. Calçada)

Introduction – SNe Ia in a nutshell

- Thermonuclear explosions of carbon-oxygen (CO) white dwarfs (WDs)
- Progenitor scenario(s) still unclear
- "Zoo" of observable subclasses
- Various progenitor scenario candidates:
 - sub M_{Ch} models (double detonation, merger, etc.)
 - M_{ch} models (pure deflagration, delayed detonation etc.)







- Ejecta distribution
- Velocities
- Temperature
- ...



- Velocities
- Temperature

- Abundance distribution

•



• ...



Hydro-simulations of deflagrations in $\rm M_{Ch}$ WDs



Hydro-simulations of deflagrations in M_{ch} WDs



- Full-star simulations with varying central densities using the LEAFS code
- Goal: Finding progenitors of the SNe lax subtype

Hydro-simulations of deflagrations in M_{ch} WDs



Hydro-simulations and derived quantities (e.g. produced ⁵⁶Ni mass) provide first insight into feasibility as progenitor scenario

- ⇒ Conclusive statements require synthetic observables through radiative transfer simulations
 - ⇒ Radiative transfer code **ARTIS** (Sim 2007; Kromer Sim 2009)

Synthetic light curves:

- Good agreement for some models
- Red bands tend to show faster decline than observed
- Red bands capture rise time better than blue bands



- Good agreement at later epochs for brighter models
- Poor agreement at early epochs for all models

⇒ Systematic differences in spectroscopic evolution not accounted for by models



- Good agreement at later epochs for brighter models
- Poor agreement at early epochs for all models

⇒ Systematic differences in spectroscopic evolution not accounted for by models



⇒ Inaccuracies due to LTE treatment in radiative transfer needs to be quantified!

So far:

Radiative transfer with **approximate NLTE** ionisation balance

⇒

Only valid until ~ **few months** after explosion

⇒

So far:

Radiative transfer with **approximate NLTE** ionisation balance

Only valid until ~ **few months** after explosion

Now:

Radiative transfer with full **NLTE**

Improvements included with the new full-NLTE ARTIS version:

- Radiation field treatment
- Atomic dataset
- NLTE ionization and population solver
- Non-thermal energy deposition
- Non-thermal degradation (Spencer-Fano)

Improvements included with the new full-NLTE ARTIS version:

- Radiation field treatment
- Atomic dataset
- NLTE ionization and population solver
- Non-thermal energy deposition
- Non-thermal degradation (Spencer-Fano)



Improvements included with the new full-NLTE ARTIS version:



So far:

Radiative transfer with **approximate NLTE** ionisation balance

Now:

Radiative transfer with full **NLTE**

⇒

⇒

Only valid until ~ **few months** after explosion

- Valid until the nebular phase
- → Not yet well tested for early times
- → Differences to LTE approximation at early times need to be quantified

Goal: Investigating the asymmetry of sub-M_{ch} WD explosions



Models show more asymmetry than is observed!



(Credit: J. Morán-Fraile)

→ Use well explored **sub-M**_{Ch} **WD explosion** scenario to further test differences between radiative transfer methods.

Setup:

- Sub-M_{ch} carbon-oxygen WD explosions for 3 initial masses
- Systematically
 offset ignition spots
- Ignition artificially triggered



First results: (Using TARDIS; Kerzendorf & Sim 2014)



A. Holas et al. Improvements to modelling tools for Type Ia Supernovae

First results: (Using TARDIS; Kerzendorf & Sim 2014)



A. Holas et al. Improvements to modelling tools for Type Ia Supernovae